COMPARISON OF MISOPROSTOL VAGINAL TABLET WITH DINOPROSTONE PGE 2 VAGINAL PESSARY FOR INDUCTION OF LABOUR IN FULL TERM PREGNANCY

Tahira Afzal Durani, Sadia Younas, Nuzhat Rasheed

ABSTRACT

Background: Initiation of labour in cases when it is full term pregnancy has multiple options, before spontaneous onset for delivery of fetoplacental unit. **Objective:** To compare the efficacy of misoprostol with dinoprostone for the labour induction, in full term pregnancies. **Methodology:** Study Design: Randomized controlled trial. Setting: Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/ Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan. Duration: 1^{st} August 2011 to 31^{st} January 2012. A total of 118 patients (59 in each group) were included in this study. Patients in group A were induced with 50 µg misoprostol, vaginal tablet repeated at 4 hourly intervals with maximum of 3 doses. Patient in group B dinoprostone, vaginal pessary repeated as 6 hours interval or maximum of two doses. Ethical approval was sought from Institutional Review Board. Data was entered in and analyzed by suing SPSS version 11. **Results:** In group A and group-B mean age of the patients was 31.2 ± 1.3 years and 31.9 ± 1.8 years respectively. Mean induction to delivery time (hours) was low in misoprostol group than dinoprostone group. (11.90 ± 6.46 vs 17.20 ± 11.31). (p=0.002) **Conclusion:** This study showed that misoprostol was more effective for induction of labour in terms of duration of induction time than dinoprostone.

Keyword: Misoprostol, Dinoprostone, Induction of labour.

Induction of labour is defined as, planned

INTRODUCTION

initiation of labour before spontaneous onset of pain for delivery, and its rate varies. 1,2,3 Onset, for the purpose of delivery of the fetoplacental unit. The rate of induction varies and in many centers is currently more than 20%. 2,3 Induction of labour is an important and common procedure, performed for medical, obstetric and social indications.⁴ Overall induction of labor at term has all most doubled in prevalence during the past 15 years.^{4,5} However, one of the potential effect of planned induction is usually increased chance of caesarean section delivery and related complications. 6-11 Nulliparous women and having unfavorable cervix are at increased chance of caesarean delivery. 12,13 When the cervix is unfavorable for initiation of labor, cervical ripening is usually recommended as so to increase the chances of induction and delivery. 1,7-9 Prostaglandins for induction of labour are used in some of the confinement.¹⁴ Misoprostol, a prostaglandin E1 analogue is used nowadays for cervical ripening.¹⁵⁻ ¹⁶ It was marked as cytoprotective agent in gastric mucosa but also endorsed by American College of Obstetrician & Gynaecologists and also by Royal College of Obstetrician and Gynaecologists. 17,18 Advantages include its low price and stability at room temperature. 19 In a country like Pakistan where average income is low, for the most people it is difficult to afford expensive drugs.²¹

This study was planned in our setup to compare the

induction time in full term pregnancy among intentional induction.

efficacy of misoprostol and dinoprostone in terms of

METHODOLOGY

Study Design: Randomized controlled trial. Setting: Department of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/ Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan. Duration of Study: From 1st August 2011 to 31st January 2012. Sample size: A total of 118, 59 in each group admitted in labor room for planning delivery was selected randomly with 5% required size of error. 80% power of study. Inclusion Criteria: Women having alive, singleton pregnancy of 37-42 weeks on ultrasound, women with age between 20-40 years, women having cephalic presentation diagnosed on abdominal and vaginal examination. Exclusion Criteria: Multiple pregnancy as diagnosed on ultrasonography, previous cesarean section, placenta praevia, women with ruptured membranes, intrauterine fetal demise as diagnosed on ultrasonography, pregnancy induced hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiac disorders.

After approval from the Institutional Review Board, 118 patients (59 patients in each group) having singleton alive, term pregnancy diagnosed on ultrasound, who fulfilled the inclusion and exclusion criteria, were selected from the labour room of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department. Informed verbal consent was taken from patients to use their data for study.

Patients were allocated to two groups using the

1. Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/ Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan, University of Health Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan.

Correspondence: Dr. Tahira Afzal Durani, Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, Sheikh Zayed Medical College/ Hospital, Rahim Yar Khan, Pakistan

Email: drtahira.durrani@yahoo.com Received: 16-01-2018 Accepted: 23-04-2018

JSZMC Vol.9 No.2 1393

random numbers table. Patients in group-A were induced with $50\mu g$ misoprostol, vaginal tablet repeated at 4 hourly intervals with maximum of 3 doses. Patients in group B dinoprostone, vaginal pessary was repeated as 6 hours interval or maximum of two doses by the doctors on duty. All patients were carefully monitored and mode of delivery was decided according to the current protocols followed in the department. Induction to delivery interval (time) was measured in hours.

Data analysis was done by using SPSS version 11. The demographic variables of the age, parity, gestational age and duration of induction to delivery interval (time) was presented as mean and standard deviation.

The outcome variables were induction to delivery interval (time) and side effects were listed separately for two study groups and compared between two groups for statistical significance. A p value of ≤ 0.05 was taken significance. Independent "t" test was applied on the induction to delivery interval (time). Fisher's exact test was applied on comparison of induction failure and side effects in misoprostol and dinoprostone groups.

RESULTS

A total of 118 patients were included in this study. Fifty-nine patients received vaginal tablet of misoprostol 50µg (Group A) and in fifty-nine patients labour induction was done by dinoprostone vaginal pessary (Group B). Mean induction to delivery interval (hours) was less in misoprostol group (Group A) as compared to dinoprostone (Group B). (11.90 \pm 6.46 vs 17.20 \pm 11.31).

Table I: Induction to delivery interval (hours)

Group	Mean ± SD	P value	
Group-A (Misoprostol)	11.90 ± 6.46	0.002	
Group B (Dinoprostone)	17.20 ± 11.31		

Side effects in misoprostol group i.e. nausea and vomiting were found in 5 patients (8.4%) with p value 0.057, fever in 3 patients (5%) and induction failed in 22 patients (37.2%) in group A and in 28 patients (47.5%) in group B Results were statistically not significant with p value 0.063.

Table II: Side effects and failure of induction in both groups

Side effects	Group-A (Misoprostol)		Group-B (Dinoprostone)		P-Value
	Number	%	Number	%	
Nausea	5	8.4	-	-	0.057
Vomiting	5	8.4	-	-	0.057
Diarrhoea	-	-	-	-	
Fever	3	5.0			0.243
Induction Failure	Group-A (Misoprostol)		Group-B (Dinoprostone)		P- Value
	Number	%	Number	%	
Yes	22	37.2	28	47.5	0.063
No	37	62.8	31	52.5	
Total	59	100.0	59	100.0	

DISCUSSION

Intentional induction of labour is a routinely performed procedure carried out to ensure more benefits to mother or fetus. Oxcytocin was one of the common agent used for introduction in past but now a days a prostaglandins are used better agents when cervix is unripe. ²²⁻²⁶

Misoprostol PGE1 has been reported to be used cervical ripening agents using three routes oral, sublingual, vaginal and dose of 25µg and 50µg. 24,25 These studies show that it is and effective cervical ripening agent. In current study, vaginal misoprostol 50µg provided a better alternative. In current study conducted on a total of 118 patients, in Group A of 59 women, misoprostol 50µg was used and in Group B of 59 women, dinoprostone vaginal pessary was used. We have observed improvement in induction to delivery interval (hours). We found that there was statistically significant difference among both of the groups in terms of induction to delivery time. (p=0.002) Prostaglandin E2 is used effectively since long time for induction of labour. Cost of prostaglandin E2 is reported to be more as compared to Misoprostol.²⁶ Misoprostol role in induction of safe labour when cost is a problem like developing countries, however; with continuous monitoring.² In current study, administration of PGE1 vaginally, resulted than PGE2 (11.90 \pm 6.46 vs 17.20 \pm 11.31). In comparison similar to our study, a study showed that misoprostol was better than PGE2 in terms of a shorter induction to delivery time. In current study, rate of cesarean section was less in misoprostol group as compared to dinoprostone group. Similar results were reported in studies carried out in Greece and India. 28,29 Hofmeyr et al, in a review assessed role in induction by misoprostol and other conventional prostaglandins and showed that the misoprostol was

JSZMC Vol.9 No.2 1394

more effective labour induction.³⁰ Huang et al also demonstrated the similar results.³¹

CONCLUSION

This study showed that vaginal misoprostol is more effective for induction of labour, by its high success rate in terms of shorter induction to delivery interval, as compared to vaginal dinoprostone, however, careful monitoring is recommended.

REFERENCES

- Crane J, Leduc L, Rarine D, Hodges S, Reid GJ, Van Aerde J. Induction of loabour at term SOGC clinical practice guideline. J Obstet Gynaecol Can 2001;2:717-41.
- Zhang J, Yancey MK, Henderson CE. U.S. national trends in labor induction, 1989-1998. J Reprod Med 2002;47:120-4.
- 3. Rayburn WF, Zhang J. Rising rates of labor induction: present concerns and future strategies. Obstet Gynaecol 2002;100:164-7.
- Sanchez-Ramos, Delke I. Induction of labour and pregnancy termination for fetal abnormality. In: James DK, Steer JP, Weiner PC, Gonic B, editors. High riskpregnancy. Philadephia: W.B Saunders; 2006. p. 1392-7.
- Dallenbach P, Boulvain M, Viardot C, Irion O. Oral misoprostol or vaginal dinoprostone for labor induction: a randomized controlled trial. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;188:162-7.
- American College of Obstetrician and Gynaecologists. Induction of labour ACOG practice Bulletin. Number 10 Washignton, DC: American College of Obstetrician and Gynaecologists: 1999.
- Royal College of Obstetrician and Gynaecologists. Induction of labour. No. 09 London, UK: RCOG Press; 2001.
- Hofmeyr GJ. Induction of labour with an unfavourable cervix. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2003;17:777-94.
- Cammu H, Martens G, Ruyssinck G, Amy JJ. Outcome after elective labor induction in nulliparous women: a matched cohort study. Am AJ Obstet Gynaecol 2002:186:240-4.
- Seyb ST, Berka RJ, Socol ML, Dooley SL. Risk of cesarean delivery with elective induction of labor at term in nulliparous women. Obstet Gynecol 1999;94:600-7.
- 11. Luthy DA, Malmgren JA, Zingheim RW. Cesarean Delivery after elective induction in nulliparous women: the physician effect. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2004;191:1511-5.
- 12. Crane JM, Delaney T, Butt KD, Bennett KA, Hutchens D, Young DC. Predictors of successful labor induction with oral or vaginal misoprostol. J Matern Fetal neonatal Med 2004;15:319-23.
- 13. Gonen R, Degani S, Ron A. Prediction of successful induction of labor: comparison of transvaginal Ultrasonography and the Bishop score. Eur J Ultrasound 1998;7:183-7.
- 14. Nassar N, Sullivan EA, Lancaster P, Day P. Australlia's

- mothers and babies 1998. Dydney: National perinatal statistics unit; 2000.
- Coln I, Clawson K, Hunter K, Druzin ML, Taslimi MM. Prospective randomized clinical trial of inpatient cervical ripening with stepwise oral misoprostol vs vaginal misoprostol. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:747-52.
- Bartusevicius A, Barcaite E, Nadisauskiene R. Oral, vaginal and sublingual misoprostol for induction of labor. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2005;91:2-9.
- 17. American College of Obstetrician and Gynaecoogists committee opinion number 283, May 2003.
- Royal College of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists. Induction of labour evidence based clinical guideline no.9, 2001
- Akoury HA, Hannah ME, Chitayat D, Thomas M, Winsor E, Ferris LE, et al. Randomized controlled trial of misoprostol for second-trimester pregnancy termination associated with fetal malformation. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2004;190:755-62.
- Clark S, Blum J, Blanchard K, Galvao L, Fletcher H, Winikoff B. Misoprostol use in obstetrics and gynaecology in Brazil, Jamaica, and the United States. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2002;76:65-74.
- Wasim T, Siddiq S. Comparison of prostaglandin E2 with misoprostol for induction of labour at term. Professional Med J 2008;15344-9.
- 22. Cromi A, Ghezzi F, Tomera S. Cervical ripening with the Foley catheter. Int J Gynaecol Obstet 2007:97:105-9.
- Boulvain M, Kelly A, Lohse C. mechanical methods for induction of labour. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2001;4:CD 001233.
- Luthy DA, Malmgren JA, Zingheim RW. Caesarean delivery after elective induction in nulliparous women. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2004;15:319-23.
- Anderson DM, Jensen JS, Uldbjerg n. Misoprostol a safe preparation for induction of labour? Ugeskr Laeger 2006;168:3711-4.
- 26. Jawaid SA. Proceedings of an advanced course in gynecology. Pak J Med Sci 2001;17:177-88.
- 27. Yolande H, Namory K, Delphine F, Mamadou Hady D, Mamadou Dioulde B, Daneil T, et al. misoprostol use for labour induction in developing countries: a prospective study in Guinea. Eur J Obstet Gynaecol 2005;122:40-4.
- 28. Sifakis S, Angelakis E, Avgoustinakis E, Fragouli Y, Mantas N, Koukoura O, et al. A randomized comparison between intravaginal misoprostol and prostaglanding E2 for labour induction. Arch Gynaecol Obstet 2007:275:263-7.
- 29. Nanda S, Singhal SR, Papnega A. Induction of labour with intravaginal misoprostol and prostaglandin E2 gel: a comparative study. Trop Doct 2007:37:21-4.
- 30. Hofmeyr GJ, Gulmezoglu AM. Vaginal misoprostol for cervical ripening and induction of labour. Cochrane database Syst Rev 2003;1:CD000941.
- Chung JH, Huang WH, Rumney PJ, Garite TJ, Nageotte MP.
 A prospective randomized controlled trial that compared misoprostol, Foley catheter and combination misoprostol Foley catheter for labor induction. Am J Obstet Gynaecol 2003;189:1031-5.

1395

Article Citation: Durani TA, Younas S, Rasheed N. comparison of misoprostol vaginal tablet with dinoprostone pge 2 vaginal pessary for induction of labour in full term pregnancy. JSZMC 2018;9(2): 1393-1395

JSZMC Vol.9 No.2